# On the limit $p \to \infty$ of global minimizers for a p-Ginzburg-Landau-type energy

Yaniv Almog<sup>\*</sup>, Leonid Berlyand<sup>†</sup>, Dmitry Golovaty<sup>‡</sup> and Itai Shafrir<sup>§</sup>

#### Abstract

We study the limit  $p \to \infty$  of global minimizers for a  $p\text{-}\mathrm{Ginzburg\text{-}Landautype}$  energy

$$E_p(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u|^p + \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - |u|^2 \right)^2 \,.$$

The minimization is carried over maps on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  that vanish at the origin and are of degree one at infinity. We prove locally uniform convergence of the minimizers on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and obtain an explicit formula for the limit on  $B(0, \sqrt{2})$ . Some generalizations to dimension  $N \geq 3$  are presented as well.

### 1 Introduction

For any  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $N \ge 2$  and p > N consider the class of maps

$$\mathcal{E}_p^d = \left\{ u \in W_{loc}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^N) : E_p(u) < \infty, \deg(u) = d \right\} \,,$$

where

$$E_p(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p + \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - |u|^2 \right)^2 \,.$$

By deg(u) we mean the degree of u "at infinity", which is properly defined since by Morrey's inequality (cf. [4], Theorem 9.12), for any map  $u \in W^{1,p}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^N)$  with  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p < \infty$  we have

$$u \in C^{\alpha}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^2)$$
, where  $\alpha = 1 - N/p$ ,

(except, perhaps, for a set of measure zero in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ) and

$$|u(x) - u(y)| \le C_{p,N} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} |x - y|^{\alpha}, \ \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

$$\tag{1}$$

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Mathematics, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematics, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Department of Theoretical and Applied Mathematics, The University of Akron, Akron, Ohio 44325, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>§</sup>Department of Mathematics, Technion - Israel Institute of Technology, 32000 Haifa, Israel

In fact, according to the proof given in [4], one can select

$$C_{p,N} = \frac{2^{2-N/p}}{1-N/p} \,. \tag{2}$$

It then easily follows (see [1] for the case N = 2; the proof for any integer value of N > 2 is identical) that

$$\lim_{|x| \to \infty} |u(x)| = 1.$$
(3)

Consequently, u has a well-defined degree,  $\deg(u)$ , equal to the degree of the  $S^{N-1}$ -valued map  $\frac{u}{|u|}$  on any large circle  $\{|z| = R\}, R \gg 1$ .

In what follows, we assume that N = 2 and, whenever appropriate, interpret  $\mathbb{R}^2$ -valued maps as complex-valued functions of the variable z = x + iy. We will return to the case  $N \geq 3$  at the end of the Introduction and present some partial results for this case (Section 4).

For any  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let

$$I_p(d) = \inf\{E_p(u) : u \in \mathcal{E}_p^d\}.$$
(4)

It has been established in [1] that  $I_p(1)$  is attained for each p > 2 and N = 2. Denote by  $u_p$  a global minimizer of  $E_p$  in  $\mathcal{E}_p^1$ . It is clear that  $E_p$  is invariant with respect to translations and rotations. However, it is still unknown whether *uniqueness* of the minimizer  $u_p$ , modulo the above symmetries, is guaranteed. Such a uniqueness result would imply that, up to a translation and a rotation,  $u_p$  must take the form  $f(r)e^{i\theta}$ (with r = |x|). Note that radial symmetry of a nontrivial *local minimizer* in the case p = 2 was established by Mironescu in [7] (with a contribution from Sandier [8]). One way of inquiring whether the global minimizer  $u_p$  is radially symmetric or not for p > 2, is by looking at the limiting behavior of  $\{u_p\}_{p>2}$  as  $p \to \infty$ , which is the focus of the present contribution. We have already studied in [2] the behavior of minimizers in the class of radially symmetric functions when p is large and, in addition, showed their local stability for 2 . The results presented in thiswork seem to support the radial symmetry conjecture (as in the case <math>p = 2 [7]); indeed, in the limit  $p \to \infty$ , we obtain the same asymptotic behavior for  $u_p$  as in the case of radially symmetric minimizers [2].

In view of the translational and rotational invariance properties of  $E_p$ , we may assume for each p > 2 that

$$u_p(0) = 0 \text{ and } u_p(1) \in [0, \infty).$$
 (5)

Our first main result is the following

**Theorem 1.** For each p > 2, let  $u_p$  denote a minimizer of  $E_p$  in  $\mathcal{E}_p^1$  satisfying (5). Then, for a sequence  $p_n \to \infty$ , we have  $u_{p_n} \to u_\infty$  in  $C_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and weakly in  $\bigcap_{p>1} W_{loc}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^2)$ , where  $u_\infty$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} u_{\infty}(z) = \frac{z}{\sqrt{2}}, & on \ B(0, \sqrt{2}) = \{|z| < \sqrt{2}\}, \\ |u_{\infty}(z)| = 1, & on \ \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B(0, \sqrt{2}). \end{cases}$$
(6)

Furthermore, the convergence  $|u_{p_n}| \to |u_{\infty}|$  is uniform on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

Theorem 1 fails to identify the values in  $S^1$  that the map  $u_{\infty}$  assumes on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B(0,\sqrt{2})$ . A natural conjecture appears to be that  $u_{\infty}(z) = \frac{z}{|z|}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B(0,\sqrt{2})$ , i.e., that  $u_{\infty} = F$  where

$$F(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{z}{\sqrt{2}} & \text{on } B(0,\sqrt{2}), \\ \frac{z}{|z|} & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B(0,\sqrt{2}). \end{cases}$$
(7)

For simplicity, whenever appropriate, we will use the abbreviated notation  $u_p$  for  $u_{p_n}$ . Our second main result establishes explicit estimates for the rate of convergence of  $u_p$  to  $u_{\infty}$  inside the disc  $B(0, \sqrt{2})$ .

**Theorem 2.** Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, for every  $\beta < 1$  and  $a < \sqrt{2}$ , there exists  $C_{\beta,a} > 0$  such that for all p > 2,

$$||u_p - u_{\infty}||_{L^{\infty}(B(0,a))} \le \frac{C_{\beta,a}}{p^{\beta/2}}.$$
 (8)

Finally we consider the minimization of  $E_p$  in dimensions higher than 2. Although it is presently unknown whether  $I_p(1)$  is attained for every  $p > N \ge 3$ , by using the same technique as in the proof of Theorem 1 we can show that the minimizer of  $E_p$  exists for sufficiently large values of p:

**Theorem 3.** For every  $N \geq 3$  there exists  $p_N$  such that for every  $p > p_N$  the minimum value  $I_p(1)$  of  $E_p$  is attained in  $\mathcal{E}_p^1$  by some  $u_p \in W_{loc}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^N)$ .

In view of Theorem 3 it makes sense to investigate the asymptotic behavior of the set of minimizers  $\{u_p\}_{p>2}$  as p tends to infinity for every  $N \ge 3$ . This is presented in the following

**Theorem 4.** For each  $p > p_N$ , let  $u_p$  denote a minimizer of  $E_p$  in  $\mathcal{E}_p^1$  satisfying  $u_p(0) = 0$ . Then, for a sequence  $p_n \to \infty$ , we have

$$u_{p_n} \to u_{\infty} \text{ in } C_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ and weakly in } \bigcap_{p>1} W^{1,p}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^N),$$
(9)

where  $u_{\infty}$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} u_{\infty}(x) = \frac{\mathcal{U}x}{\sqrt{N}} & \text{on } B(0, \sqrt{N}), \\ |u_{\infty}(x)| = 1 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^{N} \setminus B(0, \sqrt{N}), \end{cases}$$
(10)

for some orthogonal  $N \times N$  matrix  $\mathcal{U}$  with  $det(\mathcal{U}) = 1$ . We also have

$$\|\nabla u_{\infty}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{N})} = 1 \tag{11}$$

and the convergence  $|u_p| \to |u_\infty|$  is uniform on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .

**Remark 1.1.** We may alternatively state that (subsequences of) minimizers of  $E_p$  over  $\mathcal{E}_p^1$  satisfying u(0) = 0 converge to a minimizer for the following problem:

$$\inf\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (1-|u|^2)^2 : u \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^N), u(0) = 0, \, \|\nabla u\|_{\infty} \le 1\}.$$
(12)

The latter result can, most probably, be appropriately formulated in terms of  $\Gamma$ convergence. Theorem 4 shows that the minimizers of (12) are given by the set
of maps in  $W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^N)$  satisfying (10)–(11). The infinite size of this set is the
source of our difficulty in identifying the limit map  $u_{\infty}$  outside the ball  $B(0, \sqrt{N})$ .
To confirm the natural conjecture that  $u_{\infty}(x) = \frac{\mathcal{U}x}{|x|}$  for  $|x| > \sqrt{N}$ , a more delicate
analysis of the energies  $E_p(u_p)$  or of the Euler-Lagrange equation satisfied by  $u_p$  is
required. In fact, our present arguments can be used to prove the same convergence
result as in Theorem 4 not only for the minimizers  $\{u_p\}$ , but also for a sequence of
"almost minimizers"  $\{v_p\}$ , satisfying  $E_p(v_p) \leq I_p(1) + o(1)$  as  $p \to \infty$ .

Acknowledgment. This research was supported by BSF grant no. 2010194. Y. Almog received additional support from NSF grant DMS-1109030. The work of L. Berlyand was supported by NSF grant DMS-1106666 and D. Golovaty was also supported by NSF grant DMS-1009849.

#### 2 Proof of Theorem 1

We first recall the upper-bound for the energy that was proved in [2] using the test function  $U_p(re^{i\theta}) = f_p(r)e^{i\theta}$  with

$$f_p(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( 1 - \frac{\ln p}{p} \right) r & r < \frac{\sqrt{2}}{1 - \frac{\ln p}{p}} \\ 1 & r \ge \frac{\sqrt{2}}{1 - \frac{\ln p}{p}} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.1. We have

$$I_p(1) \le \frac{\pi}{3} + C \frac{\ln p}{p}, \quad \forall p > 3.$$
 (13)

**Remark 2.1.** From (13) we clearly obtain that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u_p|^p \le C, \quad \forall p > 3,$$
(14)

where C is independent of p. While this estimate is sufficient for our purpose, it should be noted that one can derive a more precise estimate

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u_p|^p = \frac{2}{p} I_p(1) \le \frac{C}{p} \,,$$

via a Pohozaev-type identity (see [1, Lemma 4.1]).

Our next lemma provides a key estimate that will lead to a lower-bound for  $I_p(1)$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $\rho \in (0,1)$  be a regular value of  $u_p$  (which by Sard's lemma hold for almost every  $\rho$ ) and set

$$A_{\rho} = \{ z \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |u_p(z)| < \rho \}.$$
(15)

Then, for any component  $V_{\rho}$  of  $A_{\rho}$  with  $\deg(u, \partial V_{\rho}) = d$ , we have for large p

$$\int_{V_{\rho}} (1 - |u_p|^2)^2 \ge |d| \left\{ 4\pi \left( \frac{\rho^4}{2} - \frac{\rho^6}{3} \right) + o(1) \right\},\tag{16}$$

where o(1) denotes a quantity that tends to zero as p goes to infinity, uniformly for  $\rho \in (0, 1)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\rho$  is a regular value of  $u_p$ , we can conclude from (3) that  $\partial V_{\rho}$  is a finite union of closed and simple  $C^1$ -curves, and hence  $\deg(u, \partial V_{\rho})$  is well-defined. Since the image of  $V_{\rho}$  by  $u_{\rho}$  covers the disc  $B(0, \rho)$  (algebraically) d times, it follows by Hölder's inequality that

$$\pi |d|\rho^2 = \left| \int_{V_{\rho}} (u_p)_x \times (u_p)_y \right| \le \frac{1}{2} \int_{V_{\rho}} |\nabla u_p|^2 \le \frac{1}{2} \mu (V_{\rho})^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \left( \int_{V_{\rho}} |\nabla u_p|^p \right)^{\frac{2}{p}}, \quad (17)$$

where  $\mu$  denotes the Lebesgue measure in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . which, in turn, yields

$$\mu(V_{\rho}) \ge \frac{(2\pi|d|\rho^2)^{\frac{p}{p-2}}}{\left(\int_{V_{\rho}} |\nabla u_p|^p\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}}.$$
(18)

From (18) and (14), we get

$$\int_{V_{\rho}} (1 - |u_{p}|^{2})^{2} = \int_{(1 - \rho^{2})^{2}}^{1} \mu \Big( \Big\{ (1 - |u_{p}|^{2})^{2} > t \Big\} \cap V_{\rho} \Big) dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\rho} 4r (1 - r^{2}) \mu (A_{r} \cap V_{\rho}) dr \ge \int_{0}^{\rho} 4r (1 - r^{2}) \frac{(2\pi |d| r^{2})^{\frac{p}{p-2}}}{\Big(\int_{V_{r}} |\nabla u_{p}|^{p}\Big)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}} dr$$

$$\ge |d| \Big\{ \int_{0}^{\rho} 4r (1 - r^{2}) (2\pi r^{2}) dr + o(1) \Big\} = |d| \Big\{ 4\pi \Big(\frac{\rho^{4}}{2} - \frac{\rho^{6}}{3}\Big) + o(1) \Big\}. \quad (19)$$

**Corollary 2.1.** There exist  $\rho_0 \in (\frac{3}{4}, 1), p_0$  and  $R_0$  such that for all  $p > p_0$  the set  $A_{\rho_0}$  has a component  $V_{\rho_0} \subset B(0, R_0)$  for which  $\deg(u, \partial V_{\rho_0}) = 1$  and  $|u_p| \ge \frac{1}{2}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus V_{\rho_0}$ .

*Proof.* Note that by (2) one can select uniformly bounded  $C_{p,2}$  in (1) for  $p \geq 3$ . This fact, together with (14) implies equicontinuity of the maps  $\{u_p\}_{p\geq 3}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Therefore, there exists  $\lambda > 0$  such that

$$|u_p(z_0)| \le \frac{1}{2} \implies |u_p(z)| \le \frac{3}{4} \text{ on } B(z_0, \lambda)$$

$$\implies \int_{B(z_0, \lambda)} (1 - |u_p|^2)^2 \ge \nu := \pi \lambda^2 \left(\frac{7}{16}\right)^2.$$

$$(20)$$

Fix  $\rho_0 \in (\frac{3}{4}, 1)$  such that

$$4\pi \left(\frac{\rho_0^4}{2} - \frac{\rho_0^6}{3}\right) > \max\left(\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{2\pi}{3} - \nu\right).$$
(21)

Let  $V_{\rho_0}$  be a component of  $A_{\rho_0}$  with  $\deg(u_p, \partial V_{\rho_0}) \neq 0$  (we may assume w.l.o.g. that  $\rho_0$  is a regular value of  $u_p$ ). By (13), (16) and (21), it follows that there can be only one such component when p is sufficiently large (and thus  $\deg(u_p, \partial V_{\rho_0}) = 1$ ). Moreover, by (20) and (21), on any other component of  $A_{\rho_0}$  (if there is one) we must have  $|u_p| > \frac{1}{2}$ .

It remains necessary to show that  $V_{\rho_0}$  is embedded in a sufficiently large disc. Similarly to (20), there exists  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that

$$|u_p(z_0)| \le \rho_0 \implies |u_p(z)| \le \frac{1+\rho_0}{2} \text{ on } B(z_0,\lambda_0) \implies \int_{B(z_0,\lambda_0)} (1-|u_p|^2)^2 \ge \nu_0 := \pi \lambda_0^2 \left(1-\left(\frac{1+\rho_0}{2}\right)^2\right)^2.$$
(22)

Since  $V_{\rho_0}$  is connected and  $0 \in V_{\rho_0}$ , the set  $\{|z| : z \in V_{\rho_0}\}$  is the interval [0, R) for some positive R. For any integer k for which  $2k\lambda_0 \leq R$  there exists a set of points  $\{z_j\}_{j=0}^{k-1} \subset V_{\rho_0}$  with  $|z_j| = 2j\lambda_0$ . By (22) and (13) we have for sufficiently large pthat

$$k\nu_0 \le \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \int_{B(z_j,\lambda_0)} (1-|u_p|^2)^2 < c_0 := \frac{2\pi}{3}+1.$$

It follows that R is bounded from above by  $R_0 := 2\lambda_0 \left(\frac{c_0}{\nu_0} + 1\right)$ .

In order to complete the proof of Theorem 1 we need to establish the convergence of  $\{u_{p_n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  to  $u_{\infty}$  and to identify the limit. We begin with the following lemma

**Lemma 2.3.** For a sequence  $p_n \to \infty$  we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} u_{p_n} = u_{\infty} \text{ in } C_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2) \text{ and weakly in } \bigcap_{p>1} W^{1,p}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^2).$$
(23)

Furthermore, the limit map  $u_{\infty}$  is a degree-one map in  $W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{R}^2)$  satisfying also (5) and

$$\|\nabla u_{\infty}\|_{\infty} \le 1. \tag{24}$$

*Proof.* Fix any q > 3. Since  $||u_p||_{L^{\infty}} \leq 1$  (see [1]), we have by (13) on each disc  $B(0,m), m \geq 1$  that

$$||u_p||_{W^{1,q}(B(0,m))} \le C_m, \quad p > q$$

It follows that for all  $m \geq 1$ , there exists a sequence  $p_n \uparrow \infty$ , such that  $\{u_{p_n}\}$  converges weakly in  $W^{1,q}(B(0,m))$  to a limit  $u_{\infty}$ . By Morrey's theorem, the convergence holds in C(B(0,m)) as well. Since the latter is true for every  $m \geq 1$  and every q > 3, we may apply a diagonal subsequence argument to find a subsequence satisfying (23). The fact that  $u_{\infty}$  has degree one too follows from (23) and Corollary 2.1.

Finally, in order to prove (24), it suffices to note that for any disc  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\lambda > 1$ and q > 1, we have by (14) and the weak lower semicontinuity of the  $L^q$ -norm,

$$\lambda^{q} \mu(\{|\nabla u_{\infty}| > \lambda\} \cap B) \leq \int_{B} |\nabla u_{\infty}|^{q} \leq \liminf_{p \to \infty} \int_{B} |\nabla u_{p}|^{q} \leq \liminf_{p \to \infty} \mu(B)^{1-q/p} \left(\int_{B} |\nabla u_{p}|^{p}\right)^{q/p} \leq \mu(B) \,.$$
(25)

Letting q tend to  $\infty$  in (25) yields  $\mu(\{|\nabla u_{\infty}| > \lambda\} \cap B) = 0$ . The conclusion (24) follows since the disc B and  $\lambda > 1$  are arbitrary.

A similar argument to the one used in the proof of Lemma 2.2 yields

Proposition 1.

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 - |u_p|^2)^2 = \lim_{p \to \infty} I_p(1) = \frac{\pi}{3} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 - |F|^2)^2 \,,$$

where F is as defined in (7).

*Proof.* As in (18) we have

$$\mu(A_{\rho}) \ge \frac{(2\pi\rho^2)^{\frac{p}{p-2}}}{\left(\int_{A_{\rho}} |\nabla u_p|^p\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}}.$$
(26)

Therefore,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 - |u_p|^2)^2 = \int_0^1 \mu \left( (1 - |u_p|^2)^2 > t \right) dt = \int_0^1 4\rho (1 - \rho^2) \mu(A_\rho) d\rho$$
$$\geq \int_0^1 4\rho (1 - \rho^2) \frac{(2\pi\rho^2)^{\frac{p}{p-2}}}{\left(\int_{A_\rho} |\nabla u_p|^p\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}} d\rho \,. \tag{27}$$

Since  $\int_{A_{\rho}} |\nabla u_p|^p \leq I_p(1) \leq C$ , taking the limit inferior of both sides of (27) yields, with the aid of (7))

$$\liminf_{p \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 - |u_p|^2)^2 \ge \int_0^1 4\rho (1 - \rho^2) \Big( \liminf_{p \to \infty} (2\pi\rho^2)^{\frac{p}{p-2}} \Big) d\rho$$
$$= \int_0^1 4\rho (1 - \rho^2) \mu (|F| < \rho) \, d\rho = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 - |F|^2)^2 = \frac{2\pi}{3} \,, \quad (28)$$

and the proposition follows by combining (28) with (13).

**Remark 2.2.** In fact, for any d we have  $\lim_{p\to\infty} I_p(d) = \frac{|d|\pi}{3}$  (see Proposition 2 in Section 4).

We can now complete the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. For each  $\rho \in (0, 1]$ , let  $D_{\rho} = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |u_{\infty}(z)| < \rho\}$ . Using arguments similar to those used to establish Proposition 1, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 - |u_{\infty}|^2)^2 = \int_0^1 \mu \left( (1 - |u_{\infty}|^2)^2 > t \right) dt = \int_0^1 4\rho (1 - \rho^2) \mu(D_{\rho}) d\rho \,. \tag{29}$$

Since  $\deg(u_{\infty}) = 1$  by Lemma 2.3, using (24) yields

$$\pi \rho^{2} \leq \left| \int_{D_{\rho}} (u_{\infty})_{x} \times (u_{\infty})_{y} \right| \leq \int_{D_{\rho}} |(u_{\infty})_{x} \times (u_{\infty})_{y}| \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{D_{\rho}} |\nabla u_{\infty}|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \mu(D_{\rho}) \,. \tag{30}$$

From (29)–(30) it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 - |u_{\infty}|^2)^2 \ge \int_0^1 8\pi \rho (1 - \rho^2) \rho^2 \, d\rho = \frac{2\pi}{3} \,. \tag{31}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.3 and Proposition 1, for every R > 0

$$\int_{B(0,R)} (1 - |u_{\infty}|^2)^2 = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{B(0,R)} (1 - |u_{p_n}|^2)^2 \le \frac{2\pi}{3},$$

which together with (31) implies that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 - |u_{\infty}|^2)^2 = \frac{2\pi}{3} \,. \tag{32}$$

Therefore, for any  $\rho \in (0, 1)$ , pointwise equalities between the integrands in (30) must hold almost everywhere in  $D_{\rho}$ . It follows that

$$\begin{cases} (u_{\infty})_x \perp (u_{\infty})_y, \ |(u_{\infty})_x| = |(u_{\infty})_y| \text{ and } |(u_{\infty})_x|^2 + |(u_{\infty})_y|^2 = 1, \\ \operatorname{sign}\{(u_{\infty})_x \times (u_{\infty})_y\} \equiv \sigma \in \{-1, 1\}, \end{cases}$$
(33)

a.e. in  $D_1$ . From (33) we conclude that  $u_{\infty}$  is a conformal map a.e. in  $D_1$  (it cannot be anti-conformal because  $\deg(u_{\infty}) = 1$ ) with  $|\nabla u_{\infty}| \equiv 1$ . Hence,  $u_{\infty}$  must be of the form  $u_{\infty}(z) = az + b$  with  $|a| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ . Since  $u_{\infty}$  satisfies (5), we finally conclude that (6) holds.

Finally, to prove that  $|u_p| \to |u_\infty|$  uniformly on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  assume, on the contrary, that for some  $\rho_0 < 1$  there exists a sequence  $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  with  $|z_n| \to \infty$  such that  $|u_{p_n}(z_n)| \le \rho_0$  for all n. But then using (22) we are led immediately to a contradiction with Proposition 1 since we have already established that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 - |u_{p_n}|^2)^2 = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{B(0,\sqrt{2})} (1 - |u_{p_n}|^2)^2 = \frac{2\pi}{3}.$$

### 3 Proof of Theorem 2

Let

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \stackrel{def}{=} \frac{1}{2} \Big( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \Big); \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \stackrel{def}{=} \frac{1}{2} \Big( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \Big) \,.$$

We begin with a simple lemma that establishes the existence of an approximate holomorphic map for a given map u such that the  $L^2$ -norm of  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{z}}$  is "small". To this end we introduce some additional notation. For a function  $f \in L^1(\Omega)$  we denote by  $f_{\Omega}$  its average value over  $\Omega$ , i.e.,

$$f_{\Omega} = \frac{1}{\mu(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} f.$$

We further set  $\nabla_{\perp} u = (u_y, -u_x)$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded, simply connected domain in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with  $\partial \Omega \in C^1$ . Let  $u = u_r + iu_i \in H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$  satisfy

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u + i \nabla_{\perp} u|^2 \le \epsilon^2 \,, \tag{34}$$

for some  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then, there exists v which is holomorphic in  $\Omega$  and such that  $v_{\Omega} = u_{\Omega}$ ,

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla(u-v)|^2 \le 4\epsilon^2 \tag{35}$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla (u - v)|^2.$$
(36)

Proof. Consider the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} = \{U \in H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) : U_\Omega = 0\}$  with the norm  $\|U\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla U|^2$  and its closed subspace  $\mathcal{K} = \{V \in \mathcal{H} : V \text{ is holomorphic in } \Omega\}$ . Let  $v = V + u_\Omega$  where  $V \in \mathcal{K}$  is the nearest point projection of  $u - u_\Omega \in \mathcal{H}$  on  $\mathcal{K}$ . Clearly v satisfies (36). To prove (35), it is sufficient, in view of the definition of v, to construct a single function  $\tilde{v} \in H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ , which is holomorphic in  $\Omega$ , and satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla(u - \tilde{v})|^2 \le 4\epsilon^2 \,. \tag{37}$$

Set  $\tilde{v} = \tilde{v}_r + i\tilde{v}_i$  where  $\tilde{v}_r \in H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) + u_r$  is harmonic and  $\tilde{v}_i$  is the conjugate harmonic function to  $\tilde{v}_r$  satisfying  $(\tilde{v}_i)_{\Omega} = (u_i)_{\Omega}$ . Let  $\phi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ . Clearly,

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla \bar{\phi} \cdot \nabla_{\perp} w = 0, \ \forall w \in H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}),$$
(38)

and since  $\tilde{v}$  is harmonic, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla \bar{\phi} \cdot \nabla \tilde{v} = 0.$$
(39)

By density of  $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ , (38)–(39) hold for every  $\phi \in H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ . In particular, employing the identity

$$\nabla \tilde{v} + i \nabla_{\perp} \tilde{v} = 0, \qquad (40)$$

and using (38) we obtain for  $\phi = u_r - \tilde{v}_r$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla(u_r - \tilde{v}_r)\|_2^2 &= \Re \int_{\Omega} \nabla(u_r - \tilde{v}_r) \cdot \nabla(u - \tilde{v}) = \Re \int_{\Omega} \nabla(u_r - \tilde{v}_r) \cdot \left\{ \nabla(u - \tilde{v}) + i \nabla_{\perp}(u - \tilde{v}) \right\} \\ &= \Re \int_{\Omega} \nabla(u_r - \tilde{v}_r) \cdot (\nabla u + i \nabla_{\perp} u) \le \|\nabla(u_r - \tilde{v}_r)\|_2 \|\nabla u + i \nabla_{\perp} u\|_2. \end{aligned}$$
(41)

Hence, by (34) and (41),

$$\|\nabla (u_r - \tilde{v}_r)\|_2 \le \epsilon \,. \tag{42}$$

Set  $w = u - \tilde{v}$ . By (34) and (40)

$$\|\nabla w + i\nabla_{\perp} w\|_2 \le \epsilon \,. \tag{43}$$

However, as  $w_r$  is real we have by (42)

$$\|\nabla w_r + i\nabla_{\perp} w_r\|_2 = \sqrt{2} \|\nabla w_r\|_2 \le \sqrt{2}\epsilon.$$
(44)

Since

$$\nabla w + i \nabla_{\perp} w = \nabla w_r + i \nabla_{\perp} w_r + i (\nabla w_i + i \nabla_{\perp} w_i) \,,$$

we get from (43)-(44) that

$$\|\nabla w_i\|_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \|\nabla w_i + i\nabla_\perp w_i\|_2 \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\|\nabla w + i\nabla_\perp w\|_2 + \|\nabla w_r + i\nabla_\perp w_r\|_2\right) \le \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\epsilon$$
  
which together with (42) clearly implies (37)

which together with (42) clearly implies (37)

By Poincare inequality and (35) we immediately deduce:

Corollary 3.1. Let v be given by Lemma 3.1. Then,

$$\|u - v\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \le C\epsilon, \qquad (45)$$

where C depends only on  $\Omega$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** Let f be holomorphic in  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ . Suppose that for every disc  $B(x_0, s) \subset \Omega$  we have

$$\int_{B(x_0,s)} (|f|^2 - 1) \le \epsilon \,, \tag{46}$$

for some  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then,

$$\|f\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_s)}^2 \le 1 + \frac{\epsilon}{\mu(B(x_0,s))},$$

where

$$\Omega_s = \left\{ x \in \Omega \, | \, d(x, \partial \Omega) > s \right\}.$$

*Proof.* As f is holomorphic,  $|f|^2$  is subharmonic. By the mean value principle we obtain for any  $x_0 \in \Omega_s$ 

$$|f(x_0)|^2 \le \frac{1}{\mu(B(x_0,s))} \int_{B(x_0,s)} |f|^2 = 1 + \frac{1}{\mu(B(x_0,s))} \int_{B(x_0,s)} (|f|^2 - 1), \quad (47)$$

from which the lemma easily follows.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let f be holomorphic in  $B_R = B(0, R) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ . Suppose that

$$\int_{B_R} (1 - |f|^2) \le \epsilon \,, \tag{48}$$

for some  $\epsilon > 0$ . Suppose further that

$$||f||^2_{L^{\infty}(B_R)} \le 1 + \epsilon.$$
 (49)

Then, there exist  $\alpha \in [-\pi, \pi)$  and C > 0, depending only on R, such that

$$|f(x) - e^{i\alpha}| \le C \frac{\epsilon}{d_x^2}, \quad x \in B_R,$$
(50)

where  $d_x = R - |x|$ .

Proof. By (48)-(49),

$$\int_{B_R} \left| |f|^2 - 1 - \epsilon \right| = \int_{B_R} (1 - |f|^2) + \pi R^2 \epsilon \le C \epsilon \,,$$

hence,

$$\int_{B_R} \left| |f|^2 - 1 \right| \le C\epsilon \tag{51}$$

(we denote by C and c different constants, depending on R only). Since the function  $||f|^2 - 1|$  is subharmonic, we deduce from (51) that for every  $x \in B_R$ ,

$$\left| |f(x)|^2 - 1 \right| \le \frac{1}{\pi d_x^2} \int_{B(x, d_x)} \left| |f|^2 - 1 \right| \le \frac{c\epsilon}{d_x^2} \,. \tag{52}$$

It follows in particular that

$$|f(x)|^2 \ge \frac{1}{2}, \quad |x| \le R - \sqrt{2c\epsilon}.$$
 (53)

In  $B(0, R - \sqrt{2c\epsilon})$  we may write then  $f = e^{U+iV}$ , where V is the conjugate harmonic function of U that satisfies  $V(0) \in [-\pi, \pi)$ . By (52) we have

$$|U(x)| \le \frac{C\epsilon}{d_x^2}, \quad |x| \le R - \sqrt{2c\epsilon}.$$
(54)

From (54) we get an interior estimate for the derivatives of U (see (2.31) in [5]):

$$|\nabla U(x)| \le C \frac{\epsilon}{d_x^3}, \quad |x| \le R - \sqrt{4c\epsilon}.$$
(55)

Note that by the Cauchy-Riemann equations, (55) holds for V as well, i.e.,

$$|\nabla V(x)| \le C \frac{\epsilon}{d_x^3}, \quad |x| \le R - \sqrt{4c\epsilon}.$$
(56)

For any  $x \in B(0, R - \sqrt{4c\epsilon}) \setminus \{0\}$  we obtain, using (56), the estimate

$$|V(x) - V(0)| \le \int_{d_x}^{R} \left| \nabla V \left( (R - s) \frac{x}{|x|} \right) \right| \, ds \le C\epsilon \int_{d_x}^{R} \frac{ds}{s^3} \le \frac{C\epsilon}{d_x^2} \,. \tag{57}$$

Therefore, setting  $\alpha = V(0)$  and using (54) and (57), we obtain for every  $x \in B(0, R - \sqrt{4c\epsilon})$  that

$$|f(x) - e^{i\alpha}| \le |f(x) - e^{iV(x)}| + |e^{iV(x)} - e^{iV(0)}| \le |e^{U(x)} - 1| + |V(x) - V(0)| \le \frac{C\epsilon}{d_x^2}$$

For  $x \in B_R \setminus B(0, R - \sqrt{4c\epsilon})$ , i.e., when  $d_x \leq \sqrt{4c\epsilon}$ , we have clearly  $|f(x) - e^{i\alpha}| \leq 2 + \epsilon$ , so choosing C big enough yields (50) for all  $x \in B_R$ .

Let  $A_{\rho}$  be defined in (15). The following lemma lists some of its properties.

**Lemma 3.4.** There exists  $p_0 > 2$  and C > 0 such that for all  $p > p_0$  and  $\rho > \frac{1}{2}$  we have

$$\mu(A_{\rho}) \ge 2\pi\rho^2 \left(1 - \frac{C}{p}\right),\tag{58a}$$

$$\int_0^1 \rho(1-\rho^2) |\mu(A_\rho) - 2\pi\rho^2| \, d\rho \le C \frac{\ln p}{p} \,. \tag{58b}$$

*Proof.* The estimate (58a) follows directly from (26) and (14). Since by (58a)

$$\mu(A_{\rho}) \ge |\mu(A_{\rho}) - 2\pi\rho^2| + 2\pi\rho^2 - \frac{C}{p},$$

we obtain using (27) that

$$I_p(1) \ge \int_0^1 2\rho(1-\rho^2)\mu(A_\rho)\,d\rho \ge \int_0^1 2\rho(1-\rho^2)|\mu(A_\rho) - 2\pi\rho^2|\,d\rho + \frac{\pi}{3} - \frac{C}{p}\,.$$

Combining the above with (13) yields (58b).

**Lemma 3.5.** Let  $\ln p/p \ll \delta_p < 1/4$ . There exists  $1 - 2\delta_p < \rho < 1 - \delta_p$ , such that for all  $p > p_0$ 

$$\int_{A_{\rho}} |\nabla u_p + i \nabla_{\perp} u_p|^2 \le C \delta_p^{-2} \frac{\ln p}{p} \,. \tag{59}$$

*Proof.* By (58b) there exists  $1 - 2\delta_p < \rho < 1 - \delta_p$  such that

$$|\mu(A_{\rho}) - 2\pi\rho^2| \le C\delta_p^{-2} \frac{\ln p}{p}.$$
(60)

Applying (60) yields

$$\frac{1}{4} \int_{A_{\rho}} |\nabla u_{p} + i \nabla_{\perp} u_{p}|^{2} = \int_{A_{\rho}} \left[ \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u_{p}|^{2} - (u_{p})_{x} \times (u_{p})_{y} \right] \\
= \int_{A_{\rho}} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u_{p}|^{2} - \pi \rho^{2} \le \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_{A_{\rho}} |\nabla u_{p}|^{p} \right)^{2/p} \mu(A_{\rho})^{1-2/p} - \pi \rho^{2} \qquad (61) \\
\le \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{C}{p} \right) \left( 2\pi \rho^{2} + C \delta_{p}^{-2} \frac{\ln p}{p} \right)^{1-2/p} - \pi \rho^{2} \le \frac{C}{\delta_{p}^{2}} \frac{\ln p}{p}.$$

Proof of Theorem 2. Set  $\eta = \frac{\sqrt{2}-a}{10}$  and then

$$b_j = a + j\eta, \quad j = 1, \dots, 9.$$

Let  $\rho$  be given by Lemma 3.5 for  $\delta_p = \eta/\sqrt{2}$ , so that  $\rho \in (b_8/\sqrt{2}, b_9/\sqrt{2})$ . We can also assume without loss of generality that  $\rho$  is a regular value for  $|u_p|$ . By Theorem 1 we have for sufficiently large p,

$$B(0,b_8) \subset A_\rho \subset B(0,b_9). \tag{62}$$

By (62) and Lemma 3.5 we have

$$\int_{B(0,b_8)} |\nabla u_p + i \nabla_\perp u_p|^2 \le \int_{A_\rho} |\nabla u_p + i \nabla_\perp u_p|^2 \le \frac{C}{(a-\sqrt{2})^2} \frac{\ln p}{p} = C_a \frac{\ln p}{p} \,.$$

Applying Corollary 3.1 yields the existence of a holomorphic function  $v_p$  in  $B(0, b_8)$  such that  $(v_p)_{B(0,b_8)} = (u_p)_{B(0,b_8)}$  and such that (36) holds with  $u = u_p, v = v_p$  and

$$\|u_p - v_p\|_{H^1(B(0,b_8))}^2 \le C_a \frac{\ln p}{p}.$$
(63)

We denote  $w_p(z) = \sqrt{2}v'_p(z)$  (where  $v'_p = \frac{\partial v_p}{\partial z}$  is the derivative of the holomorphic map  $v_p$ ) and note that  $|w_p(z)| = |\nabla v_p(z)|$ . As *a* is kept fixed, we suppress in the sequel the dependence of the constants on *a*.

For any ball  $B \subset B(0, b_8)$  we apply the same estimates as in (17),

$$\int_{B} |\nabla u_p|^2 - 1 \le \left( \int_{B(0,b_8)} |\nabla u_p|^p \right)^{2/p} \mu(B)^{1-2/p} - \mu(B)$$
$$\le \left( 1 + C/p \right) (\mu(B))^{1-2/p} - \mu(B) \le \frac{C}{p}.$$

Combining the above with (36) yields

$$\int_{B} (|w_p|^2 - 1) = \int_{B} (|\nabla v_p|^2 - 1) \le \int_{B} |\nabla u_p|^2 - 1 \le \frac{C}{p}, \quad \forall B \subset B(0, b_8).$$

By Lemma 3.2 it then follows that

$$\|w_p\|_{L^{\infty}(B(0,b_7))}^2 \le 1 + \frac{C_1}{p}.$$
(64)

Next, we apply Lemma 3.5 again, this time with  $\delta_p = 3\eta/\sqrt{2}$ , to find a corresponding  $\tilde{\rho} \in (b_4/\sqrt{2}, b_7/\sqrt{2})$ . For p large we have  $B(0, b_4) \subset A_{\tilde{\rho}} \subset B(0, b_7)$ . Arguing as in (17) we obtain, using (60),

$$\int_{A_{\tilde{\rho}}} |\nabla u_p|^2 - 1 \ge 2 \int_{A_{\tilde{\rho}}} (u_p)_x \times (u_p)_y - \mu(A_{\tilde{\rho}}) \ge 2\pi \tilde{\rho}^2 - \mu(A_{\tilde{\rho}}) \ge -C \frac{\ln p}{p}.$$

By (36), once again, we have that

$$\int_{A_{\tilde{\rho}}} \left( |w_p|^2 - 1 \right) \ge -C \frac{\ln p}{p} \,. \tag{65}$$

Next, we apply the same argument as the one used in the beginning of the proof of Lemma 3.3 to obtain, using (64) and (65),

$$\int_{A_{\tilde{\rho}}} \left| |w_p|^2 - 1 - \frac{C_1}{p} \right| = \int_{A_{\tilde{\rho}}} \left( 1 - \frac{C_1}{p} - |w_p|^2 \right) \le C \frac{\ln p}{p}.$$

Hence, also

$$\int_{B(0,b_4)} \left| |w_p|^2 - 1 \right| \le \int_{A_{\tilde{\rho}}} \left| |w_p|^2 - 1 \right| \le C \frac{\ln p}{p} \,. \tag{66}$$

We can now use (64) and (66) and apply Lemma 3.3 to obtain the existence of  $\alpha_p \in [-\pi, \pi)$  such that

$$|w_p(z) - e^{i\alpha_p}| \le C \frac{\ln p}{p}, \ z \in B(0, a).$$
 (67)

Consequently, there exists a constant  $\gamma_p$  such that

$$\left|\sqrt{2}v_p(z) - e^{i\alpha_p}z - \gamma_p\right| \le C\frac{\ln p}{p}, \ z \in B(0,a).$$
(68)

 $\operatorname{Set}$ 

$$U = u_p - v_p$$
.

For every q > 2 we have for p > q, by (63), (68), and the fact that  $|u_p| \le 1$ ,

$$\|U\|_{L^q(B(0,a))}^q \le \|U\|_{L^{\infty}(B(0,a))}^{q-2} \|U\|_{L^2(B(0,a))}^2 \le C\left(\frac{\ln p}{p}\right).$$

Furthermore, by Hölder's inequality, (67), (63) and (13) we have that

$$\|\nabla U\|_{L^q(B(0,a))}^q \le \|\nabla U\|_{L^2(B(0,a))}^{2\frac{p-q}{p-2}} \|\nabla U\|_{L^p(B(0,a))}^{p\frac{q-2}{p-2}} \le C \left(\frac{\ln p}{p}\right)^{\frac{p-q}{p-2}}$$

Consequently, for each fixed q > 2 we have

$$||U||_{W^{1,q}(B(0,a))} \le C \left(\frac{\ln p}{p}\right)^{\frac{p-q}{q(p-2)}}.$$
(69)

By Sobolev embedding the bound in (69) holds also for  $||U||_{L^{\infty}(B(0,a))}$  and, in particular, we get that for every  $0 < \beta < 1$ ,

$$|U||_{L^{\infty}(B(0,a))} \le C_{\beta} p^{-\beta/2}.$$
(70)

Combining (70) and (68) we obtain that

$$|\sqrt{2}u_p(z) - e^{i\alpha_p}z - \gamma_p| \le C_\beta p^{-\beta/2}, \ z \in B(0,a).$$

As  $u_p(0) = 0$ , it immediately follows that  $|\gamma_p| \leq C_{\beta} p^{-\beta/2}$ , and hence

$$|\sqrt{2}u_p(z) - e^{i\alpha_p}z| \le C_\beta p^{-\beta/2}, \ z \in B(0,a).$$
(71)

Substituting z = 1 into (71) we obtain using (5) that  $|\alpha_p| \leq C_{\beta} p^{-\beta/2}$  and (8) follows.

## 4 The problem in dimension $N \ge 3$

This section is mainly devoted to the proofs of Theorem 3 and Theorem 4. We begin with the computation of  $\lim_{p\to\infty} I_p(d)$ . Denote by  $\omega_N$  the volume of the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . It turns out that the constant

$$\tau_N := \frac{4\omega_N}{(N+2)(N+4)} N^{N/2}$$
(72)

generalizes the constant  $\frac{\pi}{3}$  in (13) for dimensions higher than N = 2.

Proposition 2. We have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} I_p(d) = |d| \tau_N \,. \tag{73}$$

*Proof.* (i) First we establish an upper bound. When d = 1, following a construction similar to the one used in the proof of Lemma 2.1, we define a map  $U_p$  by

$$U_p(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{r_p} & |x| < r_p, \\ \frac{x}{|x|} & |x| \ge r_p, \end{cases}$$
(74)

with  $r_p := \frac{\sqrt{N}}{1 - \frac{\ln p}{p}}$ . A direct computation shows that for  $p \ge N + 1$  we have

$$E_p(U_p) \le \frac{1}{2} \int_{B(0,r_p)} (1 - |U_p|^2)^2 + C \frac{\ln p}{p} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\sqrt{N}} \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{N}\right)^2 N \omega_N r^{N-1} dr + C \frac{\ln p}{p}$$
$$= \frac{4\omega_N}{(N+2)(N+4)} N^{N/2} + C \frac{\ln p}{p}.$$
 (75)

Next we turn to the case d > 1. Fix d distinct points  $q_1, \ldots, q_d$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with

$$\delta := \frac{1}{4} \min\{|q_i - q_j| : i \neq j\} > 4\sqrt{N}.$$

Fix K satisfying

$$K > \max_{1 \le j \le d} |q_j| + 4\delta,$$

and set  $\Omega = B(0, K) \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{d} \overline{B(q_j, \delta)}$ . Fix a smooth map  $V : \overline{\Omega} \to S^{N-1}$  satisfying

$$V(x) = \frac{x - q_j}{|x - q_j|}$$
 on  $\partial B(q_j, \delta)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, d$ 

Let  $M = \|\nabla V\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$  and fix  $R > M\sqrt{N-1}$ . We finally define

$$W_p(x) = \begin{cases} U_p(x - Rq_j) & x \in B(Rq_j, r_p), \quad j = 1, \dots, d, \\ \frac{x - Rq_j}{|x - Rq_j|} & x \in B(Rq_j, R\delta) \setminus B(Rq_j, r_p), \quad j = 1, \dots, d, \\ V(x/R) & x \in R\Omega, \\ V(K\frac{x}{|x|}) & x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B(0, RK). \end{cases}$$

By our construction  $\|\nabla W_p\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^d B(q_j, r_p))} \leq \gamma < 1$ , and hence, it follows from

(75) that

$$E_p(W_p) \le d\tau_N + o(1) \,, \tag{76}$$

which is the desired upper bound.

(ii) We next obtain a lower bound. Assume that  $d \ge 1$  and let u denote a map in  $\mathcal{E}_p^d$ . We attempt to prove that

$$E_p(u) \ge d\tau_N + o(1) \quad \text{as } p \to \infty,$$
(77)

where o(1) is a quantity that goes to zero when p goes to infinity (i.e., it is independent of u). We establish (77) for  $u \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^N)$ . The proof for any  $u \in \mathcal{E}_p^d$  then follows by density. Furthermore, in view of (76), we may suppose that

$$E_p(u) \le d\tau_N + 1. \tag{78}$$

We continue to argue as in the proof of Lemma 2.2. Given a regular value  $\rho \in (0, 1)$ of u, let  $V_{\rho}$  denote a component or a finite union of components of  $A_{\rho} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |u(x)| < \rho\}$  with  $\deg(u, \partial V_{\rho}) = D$ . We claim that

$$\int_{V_{\rho}} (1 - |u|^2)^2 \ge |D| \left\{ 4\omega_N N^{N/2} \left( \frac{\rho^{N+2}}{N+2} - \frac{\rho^{N+4}}{N+4} \right) + o(1) \right\},\tag{79}$$

as  $p \to \infty$ , where the decay of the o(1) term is uniform on  $\rho \in (0, 1)$ . To obtain the generalization of (17) to any N, we use Hadamard's inequality and the inequality of arithmetic and geometric means (see [3] for both inequalities) as follows:

$$|D|\omega_N \rho^N = \left| \int_{V_{\rho}} \det(\nabla u) \right| \le \int_{V_{\rho}} \prod_{j=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right| \le \frac{1}{N^{N/2}} \int_{V_{\rho}} |\nabla u|^N \\ \le \frac{1}{N^{N/2}} \mu(V_{\rho})^{\frac{p-N}{p}} \left( \int_{V_{\rho}} |\nabla u|^p \right)^{\frac{N}{p}}.$$
 (80)

From (80) we get a lower bound for  $\mu(V_{\rho})$  which yields (79) by the same argument as in (19) (thanks to (78) we have a bound for  $\int_{V_{\rho}} |\nabla u|^p$ ). Finally we apply (79) with  $V_{\rho} = A_{\rho}$  (so that D = d) and let  $\rho \uparrow 1^-$  to obtain (77).

We next prove Theorem 3, or the existence of a minimizer in (4) for sufficiently large values of p (we emphasize that for N = 2 this existence has been established in [1] for any p > 2, hence we expect it to hold for any p > N when  $N \ge 3$ ).

Proof of Theorem 3. For any fixed  $p \ge N+1$  consider a minimizing sequence  $\{v_n\} \subset \mathcal{E}_1$ . We may assume that these maps are smooth, satisfy  $v_n(0) = 0$  and thanks to (77) that

$$E_p(v_n) \le I_p(1) + \frac{1}{n} \le C, \ \forall n.$$
(81)

Combined together, (81) and Morrey's inequality (1) imply equicontinuity of the sequence  $\{v_n\}$ . Hence we can repeat with slight modifications (e.g., using (79) instead of (16)) the arguments of Corollary 2.1 to arrive at an analogous conclusion: there exist  $\rho_0 \in (\frac{3}{4}, 1)$  as well as  $p_N > N + 1$  and  $R_0$  such that for all  $p > p_N$  the set  $A_{\rho_0}^{(n)} := \{|v_n(x)| < \rho\}$  has a component  $V_{\rho_0}^{(n)} \subset B(0, R_0)$  for which

$$\deg(v_n, \partial V_{\rho_0}^{(n)}) = 1 \text{ and } |v_n| \ge \frac{1}{2} \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus V_{\rho_0}^{(n)}.$$
 (82)

Next, for  $p > p_N$ , let  $\{v_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  be a subsequence of the minimizing sequence  $\{v_n\}$  that converges weakly in  $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}$  and strongly in  $C_{\text{loc}}$  to a limit v. Since  $|v(x)| \ge \frac{1}{2}$  for  $|x| \ge R_0$  by (82) we conclude that  $v \in \mathcal{E}_1$ . By lower semicontinuity

$$E_p(v) \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} E_p(v_n) = I_p(1)$$

and hence, v is the desired minimizer.

We conclude this section with the proof of Theorem 4.

Proof of Theorem 4. The arguments we use here are similar in nature to those employed in the proofs of Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 1. We first extract a bounded subsequence  $\{u_{p_n}\}$  in  $W^{1,q}(B(0,m))$  for some q > N + 1 and any fixed integer m. Passing to a subsequence, we may assume that the subsequence converges weakly in  $W^{1,q}(B(0,m))$  and strongly in C(B(0,m)) to a limit  $u_{\infty}$ . Repeating the process for each m and different values of q and passing then to a diagonal subsequence yields a subsequence satisfying (9). The estimates (77) and (1)–(2) imply equicontinuity of the maps  $\{u_{p_n}\}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . This implies, in conjunction with (73), as in the proof of Corollary 2.1 and Theorem 3, that there exist  $\rho_0$ ,  $R_0$  and a component  $V_{\rho_0}^{(n)}$  of  $A_{\rho_0}^{(n)} = \{|u_{p_n}(x)| < \rho_0\}$ , such that the analog of (82) holds for  $u_{p_n}$ , namely

$$\deg(u_{p_n}, \partial V_{\rho_0}^{(n)}) = 1 \text{ and } |u_{p_n}| \ge \frac{1}{2} \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus V_{\rho_0}^{(n)}.$$

It follows that the degree of the limit  $u_{\infty}$  equals to one as claimed. In addition the inequality

$$\|\nabla u_{\infty}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{N})} \le 1 \tag{83}$$

follows by an argument identical to the one used in the proof of (24).

Next, we attempt to obtain the explicit formulae in (10). As in the proof of Theorem 1 we denote by  $D_{\rho}$  the domain

$$D_{\rho} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |u_{\infty}(x)| < \rho \} \quad \forall \rho \in (0, 1]$$

As in (29), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (1 - |u_{\infty}|^2)^2 = \int_0^1 \mu \left( (1 - |u_{\infty}|^2)^2 > t \right) dt = \int_0^1 4\rho (1 - \rho^2) \mu(D_{\rho}) \, d\rho \,. \tag{84}$$

Since  $deg(u_{\infty}) = 1$ , using (83), Hadamard's inequality, and the AM-GM inequality as in (80) yields

$$\begin{split} \omega_N \rho^N &\leq \left| \int_{D_\rho} \det(\nabla u_\infty) \right| \leq \int_{D_\rho} |\det(\nabla u_\infty)| \leq \int_{D_\rho} \prod_{j=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial u_\infty}{\partial x_j} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N^{N/2}} \int_{D_\rho} |\nabla u_\infty|^N \leq \frac{1}{N^{N/2}} \mu(D_\rho) \,, \quad (85) \end{split}$$

and hence,

$$\mu(D_{\rho}) \ge N^{N/2} \omega_N \rho^N \,. \tag{86}$$

On the other hand, the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 1 gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (1 - |u_{\infty}|^2)^2 = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (1 - |u_{p_n}|^2)^2 = 2\tau_N = \int_0^1 4\rho (1 - \rho^2) N^{N/2} \omega_N \rho^N \, d\rho \,. \tag{87}$$

Combining (84) with (86)-(87) implies that

$$\mu(D_{\rho}) = N^{N/2} \omega_N \rho^N \,, \ \rho < 1 \,.$$

Thus equalities must hold between all integrals in (85), and hence also, almost everywhere, between the integrands. Consequently, the rows of the jacobian matrix  $\nabla u_{\infty}$  are orthogonal to each other a.e. in  $D_1$ , and each row has norm equal to  $\sqrt{N}$ and the sign of det( $\nabla u_{\infty}$ ) must be constant (and hence positive because the degree of  $u_{\infty}$  is equal to 1). In particular we deduce that  $u_{\infty}$  is conformal in the sense that it is a *weak solution* of the Cauchy-Riemann system in  $D_1$  as defined in [6, Chapter 5]. Namely,  $u_{\infty} \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,N}(D_1, \mathbb{R}^N)$  (in our case it belongs even to  $W^{1,\infty}$ ), det( $\nabla u_{\infty}$ ) has constant sign in  $D_1$  and

$$(\nabla u_{\infty})^T \nabla u_{\infty} = (\det(\nabla u_{\infty}))^{2/N} \mathbf{1} \text{ a.e. in } D_1.$$
(88)

The generalization of Liouville's theorem for this case (see [6, Chapter 5]) implies that  $u_{\infty}$  must be a "Mobius map" i.e., of the form

$$u_{\infty}(x) = b + \frac{\alpha \mathcal{U}(x-a)}{|x-a|^{\epsilon}}$$
(89)

for some  $b \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus D_1$ ,  $\mathcal{U}$  an orthogonal matrix and  $\epsilon$  is either 0 or 2. However, since in our case we already know that

$$|\nabla u_{\infty}(x)| = 1 \text{ a.e. in } D_1, \qquad (90)$$

it follows that  $\epsilon = 0$  in (89). Using the fact that  $u_{\infty}(0) = 0$  and  $\det(\nabla u_{\infty}) > 0$  in conjunction with (90), leads to (10). From (90) we conclude that the inequality in (83) is, in fact, an equality and (11) readily follows. Finally, the uniform convergence of  $|u_p|$  follows as in the case N = 2.

#### References

- Y. ALMOG, L. BERLYAND, D. GOLOVATY, AND I. SHAFRIR, Global minimizers for a p-Ginzburg-Landau-type energy in ℝ<sup>2</sup>, J. Funct. Anal., 256 (2009), pp. 2268–2290.
- [2] Y. ALMOG, L. BERLYAND, D. GOLOVATY, AND I. SHAFRIR, Radially symmetric minimizers for a p-Ginzburg-Landau type energy in ℝ<sup>2</sup>, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 42 (2011), pp. 517–546.
- [3] E. F. BECKENBACH AND R. BELLMAN, *Inequalities*, Second revised printing. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. Neue Folge, Band 30, Springer-Verlag, New York, Inc., 1965.
- [4] H. BREZIS, Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations, Universitext, Springer, 2011.

- [5] D. GILBARG AND N. S. TRUDINGER, Elliptic partial differential equations of second order, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001. Reprint of the 1998 edition.
- [6] T. IWANIEC AND G. MARTIN, Geometric function theory and non-linear analysis, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 2001.
- [7] P. MIRONESCU, Les minimiseurs locaux pour l'équation de Ginzburg-Landau sont à symétrie radiale, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math., 323 (1996), pp. 593– 598.
- [8] E. SANDIER, Locally minimising solutions of  $-\Delta u = u(1 |u|^2)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A, 128 (1998), pp. 349–358.